

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/689,548	10/11/2000	James E. Johnson	INVDP001	8999
22434 7.	590 [1733/2003		EXAM	INER
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			GORDON, BRIAN R	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/689,548	JOHNSON ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian R. Gordon	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eith condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	d to avoid abandonment of this ner: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mai b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPL 706.07(f).	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date expire later than SIX MONTHS from the state of the stat	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. S	period of extension and the correspon date of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months afte	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	ered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applic issues for appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without of	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	I in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) requestion in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has beese:	n considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		LELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amene explanation of how the new or amended claim.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to: 4,14,67-77 and 79-88.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-10,59-66 and 78</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	. •	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	-	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta		-
10. Other:	(),(-
		Maureen M. Wallenhorst PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1884 1200

Application No. 09/689,548

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims now require that a communication structure and a dispensing orifice be considered as elements of the invention which were not required previously. The claims now also further require that the device comprise a single-unit manifold which would exclude any multiple unit manifolds. It also appears as if the the recitation of a single-unit manifold is new matter which is not defined in the specification. From looking at figure 2, it appears as if the device actually comprises two manifolds (62) one on opposite sides of each other; therefore it is unclear what is considered a single-unit..